

# Exhibit E

## VAUGHN INDEX

BATES STAMPED PAGES	DATE (if marked)	TITLE AND/OR DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT	FULLY OR PARTIALLY WITHHELD	EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED	DESCRIPTION OF WITHHELD MATERIAL	JUSTIFICATION FOR WITHHOLDING
00002	3/21/2022	Report of Investigation: Synopsis	partial	6, 7(c)	Narrative description of alleged misconduct that was subject of investigation, including names of individual(s) allegedly involved, as well as other individual(s) who may have been witness to alleged misconduct at issue in investigation.	Individuals mentioned in investigatory files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The privacy interest of subject(s) is higher to the extent some or all allegations are not ultimately substantiated. This high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Because this description of alleged misconduct includes personal information concerning suspect(s) and witness(es), as well as detailed descriptions of their alleged actions and statements, mere redaction of personal references would be insufficient to protect the privacy interests of the individuals involved. Personal information, descriptions of locations and events, and mention of facts that would reasonably only be known by a few specific individuals would, particularly when combined with information already in the public record, serve to identify individuals in this context, in which alleged events under investigation took place within a very small agency office and/or within a very small community.
00003- 00004	n.d.	Report of Investigation: Violations	full	6, 7(c)	Description of alleged misconduct that was subject of investigation, including references to internal and external regulations and policies.	Individuals mentioned in investigatory files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The privacy interest of subject(s) is higher to the extent some or all allegations are not ultimately substantiated. This high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Description of alleged misconduct could, along with personal information in other parts of investigatory file would, particularly when combined with information already in the public record, serve to reveal the identity of subject(s), and associate them with specific acts of alleged misconduct that were being investigated.

## VAUGHN INDEX

00005	n.d.	Report of Investigation: Complainant, Identity of Employee, Identity of Witnesses, Date and location of incident, Jurisdiction, Description and Date of Training	partial	6, 7(c)	Identities of subject(s) and witness(es), including names, addresses, phone numbers, supervisor name(s), description and date(s) of training.	Individuals mentioned in investigatory files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. This high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel.
00007	n.d.	Report of Investigation: map with caption	partial	6, 7(c)	Name of individual(s) in map caption	Individuals mentioned in investigatory files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel.
00008	n.d.	Report of Investigation: photographs	full	6, 7(c)	Three photographs with descriptive captions	Individuals mentioned in investigatory files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The privacy interest of subjects(s) is higher to the extent some or all allegations are not ultimately substantiated. The high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Both the images and the captions would serve to directly, or in combination with other information in the file or publically available, to potentially identify individuals in this context, in which events took place within a very small agency office and/or within a very small community.

## VAUGHN INDEX

00009-00024	n.d.	Report of Investigation: Investigative Narrative	partial	6, 7(c)	Investigator narrative, including names of witness(es); quotations and paraphrase from interview transcripts and written statements of subjects(s) and witness(es); witness-provided evidence; and evidence collected from NPS cell phones issued to agency employees.	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The privacy interest of subject(s) is higher to the extent some or all allegations are ultimately not substantiated. The high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Because this narrative describing the course of the investigation includes personal information concerning suspect(s) and witness(es), as well as detailed descriptions of their actions and statements, mere redaction of personal references would be insufficient to protect the privacy interests of the individuals involved. Personal information, descriptions of locations and events, and mention of facts that would reasonably only be known by a few specific individuals would, particularly when combined with information already in the public record, serve to identify individuals in this context, in which events took place within a very small agency office and/or within very a small community.
00025-00026	n.d.	Report of Investigation: Findings; List of Attachments	partial	6, 7(c)	Description of investigator's findings with regards to misconduct allegations. Descriptions of contents of 17 of the 18 attachments to the Report of Investigation, which are further discussed in entries below.	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. This high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by non-senior agency personnel. Because this is description of findings includes personal information concerning suspect(s) and witness(es), as well as detailed descriptions of their actions and statements, mere redaction of personal references would be insufficient to protect the privacy interests of the individuals involved. Personal information, descriptions of locations and events, and mention of facts that would reasonably only be known by a few specific individuals would serve to identify the individual in this context, in which events took place within a very small agency office and/or within a very small community.

## VAUGHN INDEX

00075-00076	n.d.	Attachment 2: Citation and text of Federal Regulation	full	6, 7(c)	Citation and text of federal regulation implicated by alleged misconduct that was subject of the investigation	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The privacy interest of subject(s) is higher to the extent some or all allegations are ultimately not substantiated. The high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Provision of text of this specific federal regulation, along with information in other parts of investigatory file and/or the public record, could serve to reveal the identity of subject(s), and associate them with specific acts of alleged misconduct that are the subject of the investigation.
00077	n.d.	Attachment 3: cover page	partial	6, 7(c)	Specific citation of code of conduct sections that were implicated by alleged misconduct that was subject of the investigation	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The privacy interest of subject(s) is higher to the extent some or all allegations are ultimately not substantiated. This high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-senior agency personnel. Provision of specific code of conduct citation, along with information in other parts of investigatory file and/or the public record, could serve to reveal the identity of subject(s), and associate them with specific acts of alleged misconduct that are the subject of the investigation.
00080-00081	8/3/2017	Attachment 4: Citation and text of Federal Regulation	full	6, 7(c)	Citation and text of federal regulation that was implicated by alleged misconduct that was the subject of the investigation	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The privacy interest of subject(s) is higher to the extent some or all allegations are ultimately not substantiated. The high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Provision of text of this specific federal regulation, together with information in other parts of investigatory file and the public record, could serve to reveal the identity of subject(s), and associate them with specific acts of alleged misconduct that are the subject of the investigation.

## VAUGHN INDEX

00082-00089	3/7/2022	Attachment 5: Investigative Activity Report	partial	6, 7(c)	Investigator's description and summary of interview with witness, including direct quotation and paraphrase, evidence provided by witness, and personal information about witness and other individuals, including subject(s), other witnesses, and other community members	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. This high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Because this is a detailed summary of an interview with a witness, mere redaction of personal references would be insufficient to protect the privacy interests of the individual. Personal information, descriptions of locations and events, and mention of facts that would reasonably only be known by a few specific individuals would serve to identify the witness and other individuals mentioned in this context, in which events took place within a small agency office and/or within a small community. Syntax and language use in direct quotations would also serve potentially to identify the witness.
00090-00153	n.d.	Attachment 6: Interview Transcript	full	6, 7(c)	Complete transcript of interview with witness cited in above entry	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Because this is a transcript of an interview, mere redaction of personal references would not be sufficient to protect the privacy interests of the interviewee or other individuals mentioned. Context, as well as syntax, language usage, and mention of facts that would reasonably only be known by a few specific individuals would serve to identify the witness, in this context, in which events took place within a small agency office and/or within a small community.

## VAUGHN INDEX

00154-00158	2/24/2022	Attachment 7: Written Notes	full	6, 7(c)	Written statement of witness including witness provided photographs	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Because this is a written statement by a witness, mere redaction of personal references would not be sufficient to protect the privacy interests of the witness or other individuals mentioned. Context, as well as syntax, language usage, and mention of facts that would reasonably only be known by a few specific individuals would serve to identify both the witness and other individuals in this context, in which events took place within a small agency office and/or within a small community. Release of the attendant images would also serve to reveal the identity of the witness.
159-160	2/23/2022	Attachment 8	full	6, 7(c)	Document signed by witness	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The privacy interest of subject(s) is higher to the extent some or all allegations are ultimately not substantiated. This high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Because this document includes the signature of a witness, and because specific form of the document would serve to reveal the personal circumstances of the witness and circumstances of the investigation that are not publically known, the document has been withheld in full.

## VAUGHN INDEX

00161-00165	3/9/2022	Attachment 9: Investigative Activity Report	full	6, 7(c)	Investigator's description and summary of interview with witness, including direct quotation and paraphrase, and personal information about witness and other individuals, including subject(s) other witness(es), and other community members	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Because this is a detailed summary of an interview with a witness, mere redaction of personal references would be insufficient to protect the privacy interests of the individual. Personal information, descriptions of locations and events, and mention of facts that would reasonably only be known by a few specific individuals would serve to identify the witness and other individuals mentioned in this context, in which events took place within a small agency office and/or within a small community. Syntax and language use in direct quotations would also serve potentially to identify the witness.
00166-00209	2/23/2022	Attachment 10: Interview Transcript	full	6, 7(c)	Complete transcript of investigator's interview with witness cited in above entry	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Because this is a transcript of an interview, mere redaction of personal references would not be sufficient to protect the privacy interests of the interviewee or other individuals mentioned. Context, as well as syntax, language usage, and mention of facts that would reasonably only be known by a few specific individuals would serve to identify the witness and other individuals mentioned, in this context, in which events took place within a small agency office and/or within a small community.



## VAUGHN INDEX

00210-00211	2/24/2022	Attachment 11	full	6, 7(c)	document signed by witness	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by non-senior agency personnel. Because this document includes the signature of the signature of a witness, and because specific form of the document would serve to reveal personal circumstances of the witness and the circumstances of the investigation that are not publically known, the document has been withheld in full.
00212-00215	3/8/2022	Attachment 12: Investigative Activity Report	full	6, 7(c)	Investigator's description and summary of interview with witness, including direct quotation and paraphrase, and personal information about witness and other individuals, including subject(s), witness(es), and other community members	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Because this is a detailed summary of an interview with a witness, mere redaction of personal references would be insufficient to protect the privacy interests of the individual. Personal information, descriptions of locations and events, and mention of facts that would reasonably only be known by a few specific individuals would serve to identify the witness and other individuals mentioned in this context, in which events took place within a small agency office and/or within a small community. Syntax and language use in direct quotations would also serve potentially to identify the witness.

## VAUGHN INDEX

00216-00249	2/24/2022	Attachment 13: Interview Transcript	full	6, 7(c)	Complete transcript of investigator's interview with witness cited in above entry	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Because this is a transcript of an interview, mere redaction of personal references would not be sufficient to protect the privacy interests of the interviewee or other individuals mentioned. Context, as well as syntax, language usage, and mention of facts that would reasonably only be known by a few specific individuals would serve to identify the witness and other individuals mentioned in this context, in which events took place within a small agency office and/or within a small community.
00250-00253	3/17/2022	Attachment 14: Investigative Activity Report	full	6, 7(c)	Investigator's description and summary of interview with witness, including direct quotation and paraphrase, and personal information about witness and other individuals, including subject(s), other witness(es), and other community members	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. This high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Because this is a detailed summary of an interview with a witness, mere redaction of personal references would be insufficient to protect the privacy interests of the individual. Personal information, descriptions of locations and events, and mention of facts that would reasonably only be known by a few specific individuals would serve to identify the witness and other individuals mentioned in this context, in which events took place within a small agency office and/or within a small community. Syntax and language use in direct quotations would also serve potentially to identify the witness.

## VAUGHN INDEX

					Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Because this is a transcript of an interview, mere redaction of personal references would not be sufficient to protect the privacy interests of the interviewee or other individuals mentioned. Context, as well as syntax, language usage, and mention of facts that would reasonably only be known by a few specific individuals would serve to identify the witness and other individuals mentioned in this context, in which events took place within a small agency office and/or within a small community.
254-275	n.d.	Attachment 15: Interview Transcript	full	6, 7(c)	Complete transcript of investigator's interview with witness cited in above entry
00276-00279	n.d.	Attachemnt 16: Transcript of phone call	full	6, 7(c)	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. This high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Because this is a transcript of an interview, mere redaction of personal references would not be sufficient to protect the privacy interests of the interviewee or other individuals mentioned. Context, as well as syntax, language usage, and mention of facts that would reasonably only be known by a few specific individuals would serve to identify the witness, in this context, in which events took place within a small agency office and/or within a small community.

## VAUGHN INDEX

00280-00296	n.d.	Attachment 17: Cell phone records	full	6, 7(c)	records of communications from NPS cellphone issued to agency employee	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The privacy interest of subject(s) is higher to the extent some or all allegations are ultimately not substantiated. The high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by low-level, non-supervisory agency personnel. Because these are records of specific communications, mere redaction of personal references would be insufficient to protect the privacy interests of the individuals who made or received the communications. Information in these records would serve to identify subject(s), witness(es), and other individuals in this context, in which events took place within a small agency office and/or within a small community.
00296-00297	1/30/2022	Attachment 18: Image	full	6, 7(c)	image from NPS cellphone issued to agency employee, including time and date	Individuals mentioned in misconduct investigation files, whether suspects or witnesses, have a strong privacy interest in not being associated unwarrantedly with alleged misconduct. Mere mention in such a file carries a stigmatizing connotation, and could cause personal and professional embarrassment, as well as potential harassment. The privacy interest of subject(s) is higher to the extent some or all allegations are ultimately not substantiated. The high personal privacy interest outweighs the minimal cognizable public interest in a single, localized investigation of alleged misconduct by non-senior agency personnel. Information in this image and associated text would serve to identify individuals in this context in which events took place within a small agency office and/or within a small community.